


United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/532,412	03/22/2000	Jonathan J. Hull	74451-P115	8317
7590 02/04/2004 Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			KE, PENG	
7th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C	A 90025		2174	1.5
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2004	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/532,412	HULL ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peng Ke	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the interest of the set of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· ,					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						
7 1 2 P 4 4 5						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						



Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments include the following:

- A) Stucka fails to teach extracting a first data from a display buffer.
- B) It is not reasonable to combine Stucka with Brittain.
- C) Stucka fails to teach recognizing a layout.

Examiner disagrees:

- A) Stucka teaches extracting first data from a display buffer as indicated in figure 2, where in the display buffer of the system is located in RAM. He further teaches retrieving the display objects from the RAM (col. 8, lines 16-26)
- B) It is reasonable to combine Stucka with Brittain, because as indicate in Brittain that by placing video buffer in a graphic card the graphic displaying speed will be boot, which is essential for a server that provides for the dynamic sharing of portions of user interfaces. C) Stucka teaches recognizing a layout (col. 24, lines 58-61). Examiner interprets back ground, foreground, color, text, font to be a

layout of an interface. .